## Engagement Policy Implementation Statement for the Year Ended 5 April 2025

## Sandvik UK Group Defined Benefit Pension Plan (“the Plan”)

**1. INTRODUCTION**

The Engagement Policy Implementation Statement (known as the Statement) presents the Trustees' assessment of their adherence to their engagement policy and their policy concerning the exercise of rights (including voting rights) attaching to the Plan’s investments throughout the one-year period ending 5 April 2025 (the “Plan Year”). The Trustees' policies are outlined in their Statement of Investment Principles (SIP). The SIP was last reviewed in April 2024 in order to reflect the merger that took place with the Seco Tools UK Ltd Retirement Benefits Scheme. A copy of the Trustees' SIP is available [here](https://www.home.sandvik/en/about-us/sustainability/governance-and-compliance/country-specific-reports-and-statements/).

This Statement has been prepared in accordance with the *Occupational Pension Schemes (Investment and Disclosure) (Amendment) Regulations 2019* and the guidance published by the Department for Work and Pensions.

The Trustees have appointed Mercer Limited (Mercer) as the discretionary investment manager and the Plan’s assets are invested in a diverse range of specialised pooled funds (known as the Mercer Funds). The management of each of the Mercer Fund’s assets is carried out by a Mercer affiliate, namely Mercer Global Investments Europe Limited (MGIE) and Mercer Alternatives (Luxembourg) S.à r.l. thereafter\*.

The relevant Mercer affiliate is responsible for the appointment and monitoring of a suitably diversified portfolio of specialist third party investment managers for the assets of each Mercer Fund.

Under these arrangements, the Trustees acknowledge that they do not possess direct authority over the engagement or voting policies and arrangements of the Mercer Funds’ managers. Mercer’s publicly available [Sustainability Policy](https://investment-solutions.mercer.com/content/dam/mercer-subdomains/delegated-solutions/CorporatePolicies/Sustainability%20Policy.pdf) outlines Mercer’s investment philosophy and how it addresses sustainability risks and opportunities, into the decision making process. The [Stewardship Policy](https://investment-solutions.mercer.com/content/dam/mercer-subdomains/delegated-solutions/CorporatePolicies/Mercer%20ISE%20Stewardship%20Policy.pdf) provides further details on Mercer’s implementation of stewardship practices.

Mercer’s triennial Client Engagement Survey aims to integrate the Trustees perspectives on specific themes by evaluating the alignment between Mercer’s engagement priority areas and those of the Trustees. The last survey, undertaken in 2023, also highlights areas of focus that hold importance to the Trustees.The Trustees regularly review reports from Mercer regarding the stewardship (engagement and voting activities) conducted within the Mercer Funds to assess the alignment of these with their own.

Section 2 of this Statement outlines the Trustees' engagement policy and evaluates the extent to which it has been followed during the Plan Year.

\*Due to the nature of private markets investment voting information is not available for the PIP funds.

Section 3 sets out the Trustees' policy regarding the exercising of rights (including voting rights) attached to the Plan investments. This Section also provides detailed information on the voting activities undertaken by third-party investment managers appointed within the Mercer Funds during the Plan Year.

**Considering the analysis presented in Sections 2 to 3, the Trustees believe that their policies with regard to engagement and the exercise of rights attaching to investments have been successfully followed during the Plan Year.**

**2. TRUSTEES' POLICY ON ENVIRONMENTAL, SOCIAL, AND GOVERNANCE (ESG) ISSUES, INCLUDING CLIMATE CHANGE**

**Policy Summary**

The Trustees' ESG policy is outlined in Section 12 of the Plan’s SIP.

The Trustees periodically review Stewardship and Sustainability policies as noted above. If the Trustees find that the relevant policies of Mercer, MGIE or the third-party asset managers do not align with their own beliefs they will notify Mercer. Engagement to seek alignment will be prioritised, then they may consider disinvesting some or all of the assets held in the Mercer Funds. They may also seek to renegotiate commercial terms with Mercer.

**How the Policy has been implemented over the Plan Year**

The following work was undertaken during the year relating to the Trustees' policy on sustainability integration, including the climate transition and effective stewardship.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Policy Updates | Climate Change Reporting and Carbon Foot printing | Mercer Ratings |
| The Trustees regularly review how sustainability considerations including the climate transition and effective stewardship are integrated within Mercer’s, and MGIE’s, investment processes and those of the underlying asset managers within the Mercer Funds, in their monitoring process. Mercer, and MGIE, provide reporting to the Trustees on a regular basis.  The Mercer [Sustainability Policy](https://investment-solutions.mercer.com/content/dam/mercer-subdomains/delegated-solutions/CorporatePolicies/Sustainability%20Policy.pdf) is reviewed regularly, with updates in November 2024 including an update to Mercer’s Investment Philosophy. Mercer also regularly reviews its approach to integrating climate considerations into its investment decision-making process as documented in its [Task Force on Climate Related Financial Disclosures (TCFD) report](https://investment-solutions.mercer.com/content/dam/mercer-subdomains/delegated-solutions/CorporatePolicies/Task%20Force%20on%20Climate-related%20Financial%20Disclosures.pdf), updated in August 2024.  The Mercer [Stewardship Policy](https://investment-solutions.mercer.com/content/dam/mercer-subdomains/delegated-solutions/CorporatePolicies/Mercer%20ISE%20Stewardship%20Policy.pdf) is similarly reviewed regularly. In January 2024 the policy was updated to include nature engagement priorities, and climate and diversity, equity and inclusion (DEI) voting expectations.  The Stewardship and Sustainability Policies have also been updated with the integration of nature and biodiversity as a key investment and engagement theme. Mercer is a member of the Task Force for Nature Related Financial Disclosures (TNFD) working group and a founding signatory of Nature Action 100.  The most recent [UN Principles of Responsible Investment](https://investment-solutions.mercer.com/europe/uk/en/our-funds/responsible-investment.html) results (based on 2022 activity) awarded Mercer with 4 out of 5 stars for Policy Governance and Strategy. The United Nations Principles for Responsible Investment (UN PRI) is a global initiative that provides a framework for incorporating sustainability considerations into investment practices.  The Financial Reporting Council confirmed in February 2025 that MGIE will remain a signatory to the UK Stewardship Code, based on its application of the 12 principles, which is seen to represent best practice in stewardship. | Mercer’s global investment philosophy, which the Trustees have reviewed, recognises that:  • Portfolio resilience can be enhanced by integrating financially material sustainability, transition, and socioeconomic risks into investment decision-making.  • Investing to solve long-term systemic issues may provide opportunities to improve risk-adjusted returns.  • Effective stewardship can improve investment outcomes.  Mercer applies each of these three lenses when considering the climate transition. The climate transition is a widely recognised systemic risk and Mercer considers the transition to a low carbon economy and the physical damages associated with global temperature increases through our climate scenarios analysis, analytics for Climate Transition (ACT) framework, which considers the alignment of portfolios to the low carbon transition, and through monitoring other climate-related metrics.  Mercer has a target of net-zero absolute portfolio carbon emissions by 2050 for UK, European and Asian discretionary portfolios, and relevant multi-client, multi-asset funds domiciled in Ireland. To achieve this, Mercer also established an expectation that portfolio carbon emissions intensity would reduce by 45% from 2019 baseline levels and is on track to achieve this. Mercer’s approach to managing climate change risks is consistent with the framework recommended by the Financial Stability Board’s Task Force on Climate related Financial Disclosures (TCFD), as described in [Mercer’s latest](https://investment-solutions.mercer.com/content/dam/mercer-subdomains/delegated-solutions/CorporatePolicies/Task%20Force%20on%20Climate-related%20Financial%20Disclosures.pdf) Task Force on Climate Related Financial Disclosures Status [**Report**](https://investment-solutions.mercer.com/content/dam/mercer-subdomains/delegated-solutions/CorporatePolicies/Task%20Force%20on%20Climate-related%20Financial%20Disclosures.pdf).  As of 31 March 2025, Mercer’s in-scope portfolios are on track to meet their long-term net zero portfolio carbon emissions reduction targets, with an average of -53% since baseline. (Data Source: MSCI Barra). | Stewardship forms an important part of Mercer’s ratings framework applied during the manager research process.  Mercer’s manager research ratings include an assessment of the extent to which sustainability considerations are incorporated in a strategy’s investment process as well as the manager’s approach to stewardship.  Across most asset classes, Mercer ratings are reviewed during quarterly monitoring by the portfolio management teams with a more comprehensive review performed annually. In these reviews, Mercer seek evidence of positive momentum on managers’ sustainability integration.  These ratings assigned by Mercer are included in the investment performance reports produced by Mercer on a quarterly basis and reviewed by the Trustees. |
| Approach to Exclusions | **Sustainability-themed investments** | **Diversity** |
| Mercer and MGIE’s preference are to emphasise integration and stewardship approaches, however, in a limited number of instances, exclusions of certain investments may be necessary based on Mercer’s Investment Exclusions or Sensitive Topics Frameworks. Controversial weapons and civilian firearms are excluded from all multi-client equity and fixed income funds. In addition, tobacco companies and nuclear weapons are excluded from active equity and fixed income funds. Some funds have additional exclusions as outlined in their relevant product disclosures available on Mercer’s [dedicated website](https://investment-solutions.mercer.com/europe/uk/en/our-funds/responsible-investment.html).  In addition, Mercer and MGIE monitors funds for high-severity incidences relating to the UN Global Compact (UNGC) Principles that relate to human rights, labour, environmental and corruption issues. | An allocation to MGIE’s Passive Sustainable Global Equity UCITS CCF and Luxembourg domiciled Sustainable Opportunities fund (private markets) is included within the Plan’s portfolio of Growth assets, with the allocation accounting for c.6.0% of the Growth Portfolio.  The annual Impact Report highlights the positive social and environmental impact generated by the Scheme’s investments within the private markets Global Impact solution. | Mercer and the Trustees believe that diverse teams lead to better decision-making and have therefore taken several measures to work towards reflecting this view within Mercer’s portfolio management team, the teams of the appointed managers and across portfolio holdings.  Participation in collaborative initiatives can also support raising awareness and contributing to initiatives across the broader industry.  Mercer Limited is a member of The Diversity Project, which seeks to accelerate progress towards a more inclusive culture in the investment and savings profession.  Mercer is also a member of the 30% Club – UK Investor Chapter and Irish Investor Chapter. The 30% Investor Chapters are investor-led initiatives that aim to increase gender diversity on corporate boards and in senior leadership positions.  Mercer considers broader forms of diversity in decision-making, but currently reports on gender diversity. |
| Engagement | | |
| Engagement is an important aspect of Mercer's stewardship activities on behalf of the Trustees. [The 2024 Sustainability & Stewardship Report](https://investment-solutions.mercer.com/content/dam/mercer-subdomains/delegated-solutions/responsible-investment/Mercer%20IS%202024%20Sustainability%20and%20Stewardship%20Report_F.pdf) highlights the engagement objectives which have been set, examples of engagement and the escalation process. Mercer also participates in collaborative initiatives related to stewardship.  Mercer conducts an annual survey on sustainability and stewardship topics. The survey was distributed to over 200 managers appointed in the Mercer Funds. The survey gathers information on managers’ broad approach to stewardship as part of their investment integration. It also seeks insights and examples of voting and engagement activities. The results from the survey serve as an important source of information for tracking and measuring managers’ stewardship efforts, assessing effectiveness, and identifying potential areas for improvement.  The results and insights from the survey will be shared in Mercer’s annual Sustainability and Stewardship Report. This report is reviewed by the Trustees providing them with valuable information on the managers' stewardship activities and their alignment with Mercer's objectives. | | |

**3. TRUSTEES' POLICY ON EXERCISE OF RIGHTS (INCLUDING VOTING RIGHTS) ATTACHING TO SCHEME INVESTMENTS**

The Trustees' policy is as follows:

* Delegation of Investment Management: The Trustees delegate responsibility for the discretionary investment management of Plan assets to Mercer. The Plan’s assets are invested in a range of Mercer Funds for which MGIE or relevant Mercer affiliate acts as investment manager.
* Reporting of Engagement and Voting activities: For the Trustees to fulfil their obligations regarding voting and engagement, they require reporting on the engagement and voting activities undertaken within the Mercer Funds. This reporting helps the Trustees assess whether the policies align with their own delegation of voting rights: Voting rights that apply to the underlying investments attached to the Mercer Funds are delegated to the third-party investment managers appointed by MGIE. MGIE accepts that these managers are typically best placed to exercise voting rights and prioritise particular engagement topics, given their detailed knowledge of the governance and operations of the investee companies. However, Mercer plays a pivotal role in monitoring the stewardship activities of those managers and promoting more effective stewardship practices, including attention to more strategic themes and topics.
* Proxy Voting Responsibility: Proxy voting responsibility is given to listed equity investment managers with the expectation that all shares are voted(2) in a timely manner and in a manner deemed most likely to protect and enhance long-term value. Mercer and MGIE carefully evaluates each investment manager’s stewardship capabilities (engagement and voting activities) as part of the selection process, ensuring alignment with Mercer’s commitment to good governance and the integration of sustainability considerations. Managers are expected to take account of current best practice such as the UK Stewardship Code, to which Mercer is a signatory. As such the Trustees do not use the direct services of a proxy voter.

A summary of the voting activity for a range of Mercer Funds in which the Plan’s assets are invested in is provided for the 12 month period to 31 March 2025. This may include information in relation to funds that the Plan’s assets were no longer invested in at the year end. The statistics are drawn from the Glass Lewis system (via the custodian of the Mercer Funds). Glass Lewis is a leading provider of governance and proxy voting services.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Fund** | **Total Proposals** | | **Vote Decision** | | | | | **For/Against Mgmt** | | **Meetings** | |
| **Eligible Proposals** | **Proposals Voted On** | **For** | **Against** | **Abstain** | **No Action** | **Other** | **For** | **Against** | **No.** | **Against** |
| MGI Eurozone Equity Fund | 5,786 | 5,487 | 83% | 12% | 1% | 4% | 0% | 88% | 12% | 304 | 57% |
| MGI UK Equity Fund | 2,066 | 2,065 | 98% | 2% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 98% | 2% | 93 | 32% |
| Mercer Low Volatility Equity Fund | 7,287 | 7,068 | 89% | 8% | 0% | 3% | 0% | 93% | 7% | 425 | 41% |
| Mercer Multi-Asset Credit Fund (1) | 60 | 47 | 78% | 0% | 3% | 18% | 0% | 74% | 26% | 6 | 17% |
| MGI Emerging Markets Equity Fund | 3,279 | 3,029 | 82% | 11% | 6% | 1% | 0% | 85% | 15% | 309 | 43% |
| MGI Global Equity Fund | 8,652 | 8,355 | 88% | 9% | 0% | 3% | 0% | 91% | 9% | 563 | 40% |
| Mercer Global Small Cap Equity Fund | 8,437 | 8,284 | 90% | 8% | 0% | 2% | 0% | 92% | 8% | 730 | 38% |
| Mercer Passive Global REITS UCITS CCF | 2,987 | 2,881 | 77% | 19% | 0% | 3% | 0% | 78% | 22% | 300 | 71% |
| Mercer Passive Sustainable Global Equity UCITS CCF | 16,329 | 15,784 | 77% | 20% | 1% | 3% | 0% | 78% | 22% | 1125 | 79% |
| Mercer China Equity Fund | 3,544 | 3,410 | 85% | 12% | 1% | 2% | 0% | 87% | 13% | 259 | 53% |
| Mercer Sustainable Listed Infrastructure UCITS CCF | 1,326 | 1,117 | 80% | 4% | 1% | 15% | 0% | 93% | 7% | 73 | 36% |

|  |
| --- |
| Voting Activity figures for the Mercer Multi-Asset Credit fund relate to a small number of equity holdings within the fund’s underlying segregated mandates. Please note this does not include voting activity from any underlying pooled strategies within the fund over the period  There are a number of limited circumstances where voting rights may not be exercised relating to, for example, conflicts of interest, share-blocking markets, power of attorney (POA) markets etc.   * “Eligible Proposals” reflect all proposals of which managers were eligible to vote on over the period * “Proposals Voted On” reflect the proposals managers have voted on over the period (including votes For and Against, and any frequency votes encompassed in the “Other” category)” * Vote Decision may not sum to 100 due to rounding. “No Action” reflects instances where managers have not actioned a vote. MGIE may follow up with managers to understand the reasoning behind these decisions, and to assess the systems managers have in place to ensure voting rights are being used meaningfully * “Other” refers to proposals in which the decision is frequency related (e.g. 1 year or 3 year votes regarding the frequency of future say-on-pay). * “Meetings No.” refers to the number of meetings the managers were eligible to vote at. * “Meetings Against” refers to the no. of meetings where the managers voted at least once against management, reported as a % of the total eligible meetings. |

**Significant Votes:** The Trustees have based the definition of significant votes in line with the requirements of the Shareholder Rights Directive (SRD) II and on Mercer’s engagement priority themes, The *most* significant proposals reported below relate to the three companies with the largest weight in each fund (relative to other companies in the full list of significant proposals), while considering Mercer’s engagement priority themes.

Where available, information on next steps and plans to escalate are included in the following table.

# Most Significant Votes

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Fund** | **Company** *(Holding Weight)* | **Meeting Date: Proposal Text** *(Significance Category)* | **Manager Vote Decision** *(Intention to vote against management communicated – Rationale, if available* | **Proposal Outcome** *(Next steps to report, if any)* |
| Mercer Passive Sustainable Global Equity UCITS CCF | Apple Inc (6.5%) | 25/5/2025: Shareholder Proposal Regarding Abolishing Inclusion and Diversity Program and Policies (Social) | Against (No - The company provides several disclosures that relate to diversity and inclusion, and these disclosures appear to provide sufficient information for shareholders to evaluate the programs and policies in question. In addition, the company clearly provides its non-discrimination policies, it clearly discusses the board and management's role in oversight of the associated risks, and there do not appear to be any controversies related to employee diversity initiatives discriminating against employee groups at the company specifically.) | 2.3% Support  Proposal did not pass.  (Manager will continue to vote in line with their published policies and positions.) |
| Microsoft Corporation (7.0%) | 10/12/2024 : Shareholder Proposal Regarding Report on Risks of Providing AI to Facilitate New Oil and Gas Development and Production (Environmental) | Against (No - A vote AGAINST this resolution is warranted. The concerns by the proposal raised do not present significant material risks at this time. The company provides sufficient disclosure on its approach to providing services to energy sector customers.) | 9.7% Support  Proposal did not pass.  (N/A.) |
| MGI Global Equity Fund | Apple Inc (3.0%) | 25/5/2025 : Shareholder Proposal Regarding Abolishing Inclusion and Diversity Program and Policies (Social) | Against (No - A vote AGAINST this proposal is warranted. The company provides several disclosures that relate to diversity and inclusion, and these disclosures appear to provide sufficient information for shareholders to evaluate the programs and policies in question. In addition, the company clearly provides its non-discrimination policies, it clearly discusses the board and management's role in oversight of the associated risks, and there do not appear to be any controversies related to employee diversity initiatives discriminating against employee groups at the company specifically.) | 2.3% Support  Proposal did not pass.  (N/A) |
| Meta Platforms Inc (2.9%) | 29/5/2024 : Shareholder Proposal Regarding Report on Human Rights Risks in Non-U.S. Markets (Social) | Mixed - For (2) and Against (1) (No - A vote FOR this resolution is warranted. Shareholders are likely to benefit from additional reporting on how well the company is assessing and management of human rights risks related to content moderation in non-U.S. markets.)  Against (1) - (No - A vote AGAINST this proposal is warranted. The company has sufficient measures to evaluate and manage human rights risks related to content moderation in non-U.S. markets.)) | 5.5% Support  Proposal did not pass.  (Against - N/A)  One of the managers who voted For, will continue to view votes on shareholder proposals as signals to the company and may engage on a case-by-case basis to understand the board's or management's consideration of shareholder requests, while being open to sharing the voting rationale.) |
| Meta Platforms Inc (2.9%) | 29/5/2024 : Shareholder Proposal Regarding Human Rights Impact Assessment of AI Used in Targeted Advertising  (Social) | For (No - A vote FOR this proposal is warranted, as an independent Human Rights Impact Assessment would help shareholders better assess Meta's management of risks related to its targeted advertising policies and practices. ) | 14.4% Support  Proposal did not pass.  (N/A) |
| Meta Platforms Inc (2.9%) | 29/5/2024 : Shareholder Proposal Regarding Lobbying Activity Alignment with Net Zero Emissions Commitment (Environmental) | For (No - A vote FOR this proposal is warranted at this time. The request is not considered overly onerous or prescriptive, and shareholders would benefit from greater transparency of the company's direct and indirect climate lobbying, and how the company would plan to mitigate any risks that might be identified.) | 8.2% Support  Proposal did not pass.  (N/A) |
| Microsoft Corporation (3.6%) | 10/12/2024 : Shareholder Proposal Regarding Report on Risks of Providing AI to Facilitate New Oil and Gas Development and Production (Environmental) | Against (No - A vote AGAINST this resolution is warranted. The company has set climate goals for its emissions, and it is not likely that the emissions of its customers are likely to pose significant risk to shareholders at this time.) | 9.7% Support  Proposal did not pass.  (N/A) |
| Mercer Low Volatility Equity Fund | Alphabet Inc (3.8%) | 07/06/2024 : Shareholder Proposal Regarding Human Rights Impact Assessment of AI-Driven Targeted Advertising (Social) | Mixed - For (3) and Against (1) (N/A - A vote FOR this proposal is warranted because an independent human rights assessment on the impacts would help shareholders better evaluate the company's management of risks related to the human rights impacts of its targeted advertising policies and practices.  (N/A - A vote Against this proposal appears unnecessary and too onerous for a complex issue such as AI driven ad targeting.) | 18.5% Support  Proposal did not pass.  (For - N/A)  (Against - While the manager monitors the voting outcomes, they use their own issuer-specific assessment to inform their engagement plan and strategy, and it is this assessment that determines their future steps.) |
| Alphabet Inc (3.8%) | 07/06/2024 : Shareholder Proposal Regarding Equal Employment Opportunities (EEO) Policy Risk Report (Social) | Against (N/A - A vote AGAINST this resolution is warranted as the company's current policies, commitments, and disclosures provide sufficient information for investors to determine how the company mitigates any risks associated with its EEO Policy.) | 0.2% Support  Proposal did not pass.  (N/A) |
| Microsoft Corporation (3.0%) | 10/12/2024 : Shareholder Proposal Regarding Report on Risks of Providing AI to Facilitate New Oil and Gas Development and Production (Environmental) | Against (No - A vote AGAINST this resolution is warranted. The company has set climate goals for its emissions, and it is not likely that the emissions of its customers are likely to pose significant risk to shareholders at this time.) | 9.7% Support Proposal did not pass.  (N/A) |
| Visa Inc (2.3%) | 28/1/2025 : Shareholder Proposal Regarding Report on Benefits and Health Program Gaps (Social) | Against (No - A vote AGAINST this resolution is warranted as the company appears to provide competitive health benefits, and there is no evidence that the company is offering health care in a discriminatory manner.) | 0.8% Support  Proposal did not pass.  (None to report) |
| MGI UK Equity Fund | Shell Plc (5.1%) | 21/5/2024 : Shareholder Proposal Regarding Scope 3 GHG Target and Alignment with Paris Agreement (Environmental) | Against (No - In reviewing the resolution, manager did not believe the proposal was in our clients’ best interest based on considerations related to the incremental value of the information or action requested by the proposal. Setting absolute Scope 3 reduction targets which limit production without limiting demand will effectively push production to other producers (often with worse environmental records.) | 18.1% Support  Proposal did not pass.  (Manager engaged the CEO and the Chairman around the annual meeting and resolutions on the agenda and will continue to engage with the Company on environmental issues as well as other topics.) |

**Note** – These funds, namely the **Mercer Global Small Cap Equity Fund, Mercer Passive Global REITS UCITS CCF, MGI Eurozone Equity Fund, Mercer China Equity Fund, Mercer Sustainable Listed Infrastructure UCITS CCF** and **MGI Emerging Markets Equity Fund** do not have any votes deemed to be significant based on our definition of significant votes. Our definition specifically focuses on Mercer's Global Engagement Priority Themes. None of the **Multi-Asset Credit Fund** proposals met the significance definition over the period.